Management of issues and potential risks associated with nonexamination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course	Subject Teacher
accessed from the awarding body online	IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access	Senior IT Support Officer
	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	Exams Manager
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's	TALLS
	specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	Subject Teacher
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Head of Centre
stage		
Issuing of tasks Awarding body set task not	Twenty dings had a least data for a granging not took as datailed in the	Subject
issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	Teacher
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	Subject Teacher Exams
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of	unresolved See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Manager Head of Centre
tasks stage Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	Subject Teacher
donvinos	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	Director of Curriculum
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course	Director of Operations/ Facilities
	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates	Manager Subject Teacher
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	

		Exams Manager
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	Head of Centre
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Head of Centre
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	Exams Manager
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	Director of Curriculum
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	Director of Curriculum
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	Director of Curriculum
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Subject Teacher
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	Subject Teacher

	T 2	T
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	Subject Teacher
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be	Head of Centre
centre during the course	done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the	Head of Centre
complete his/her non- examination assessment(s)	specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education	
examination assessment(s)	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	
Resources	are made soparately for the canadata	
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in	Subject
and resources between	and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	Teacher
formally supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected	
	in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for	
A candidate fails to	candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	Subject
acknowledge sources on work	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used.	Teacher
that is submitted for	including books, websites and audio/visual resources	reaction
assessment	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
Word and time limits	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	Director of
awarding body for exceeding	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	Curriculum
word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged	TALLS
	from exceeding them	Subject
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on	Teachers
Callabaration and arrangement	word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	
groups where the awarding	checked to determine if group work is permitted	Head of Centre
body specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	nead of Centre
not permitted	unresolved	
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	Subject
authenticity of the work	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'	Teacher
submitted by a candidate for	work	
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	
Candidate plagiarises other	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments	
material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need	
material	to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination	
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	Head of Centre
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	Subject
authentication	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	Teacher
statement/declaration	examination assessments Candidates confirm/regard they understand what they need to do	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of	
	a candidate for formal assessment	
		1

Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Head of Centre
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject Teacher
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate	Director of Curriculum
	secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Director of Curriculum TALLS
Task marking – externally ass		1111110
A candidate is absent on the	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	Subject
day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	Teacher Exams
	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Manager
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject Teacher
Task marking – internally asse	essed components	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	Subject
work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Teacher
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	Subject Teacher Exams Manager
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Subject Teacher Exams Manager
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: policies and procedures are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Head of Centre
A teacher marks the work of a candidate with whom they have close relationship e.g. members of their own family or close friends and immediate family (e.g. Son/Daughter)	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is preparing/teaching said child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Head of Centre Subject Teacher
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Subject Teacher Exams Manager

After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration	Subject Teacher Exams Manager
	for candidates	3
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded	Subject
appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work	for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body	Teacher
by their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	
	through the awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the	
	internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks	
	Through the internal appeals procedure candidates are made	Exams
	aware of the application process and timescale for submitting an	Manager
	appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the	
	submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates	Subject
for formal assessment not met	at the start of the course	Teacher
by candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance	
	sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking	
	providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can	
	be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	TALLS
Deadline for submitting marks	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each	Director of
and samples of candidates	academic year	Curriculum/
work ignored by subject	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as	TALLS
teacher	deadlines approach	
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	Head of Centre
period		